

# CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT JOSEPH A. CURTATONE MAYOR

MICHAEL F. GLAVIN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION STAFF

GEORGE PROAKIS, DIRECTOR OF PLANNING SARAH LEWIS, SENIOR PLANNER SARAH WHITE, PLANNER & PRESERVATION PLANNER ALEX MELLO, PLANNER

Case #: ZBA 2018-17 Date: July 18, 2018

**Recommendation:** Conditional Approval

# PLANNING STAFF REPORT

Site: 11 Robinson Street

**Applicant Name:** Michael & AnnMarie

Browne

Applicant Address: 11 Robinson Street,

Somerville, MA 02143

Owner Name: Michael & AnnMarie Browne

Owner Address: 11 Robinson Street,

Somerville, MA 02143

**Alderman:** Mark Niedergang

Legal Notice: Applicant and Owner, Michael Browne, seeks Special Permits/Variance\* to legalize a rear deck under  $\S4.4.1$  and  $\S5.5$  of the SZO . RA

zone Ward 4 \*\*



**Dates of Public Hearing(s):** July 18, 2018 – ZBA

\* / \*\* The property is located in Ward 5 and the relief needed is Special Permit only.

# I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- 1. Subject Property: The locus presents a 2 ¾-story, two-family residential structure in the RA zoning district. The structure contains 3,048 square feet of living area and rests on a 3,049 squarefoot lot
- 2. **Proposal:** The application contains the following statement from the Applicants regarding their

Date: July 18, 2018 Case #: ZBA 2018-17 Site: 11 Robinson Street

### proposal:

"The original 2<sup>nd</sup> floor deck (same size as the existing deck) was constructed prior to 1993. A 1/3 portion of the original 2<sup>nd</sup> floor deck was later enclosed and remained enclosed as the remaining 2/3rds became further rooted and deteriorated. On or about 2001, Owners began removing pieces of rotted sections and began rebuilding back to original size and spec in 2012. It was not known by the owners that a special permit was required to restore to original size as the existing footings were never removed. Deck restoration was completed in 2014."

<u>Staff Note 1</u>: The purpose of the Applicants' appearance in front of the ZBA is to legalize the rebuilt deck. A special permit is needed in order to do this because the left elevation of the deck rests in the left side yard setback and the rear elevation of the deck rests in the rear yard setback. Special permits are needed for each.

### Left side yard setback

The left elevation of the second floor rear deck is roughly 1.6 feet from the left property line in a zone where a minimum 8-foot setback is required. A special permit is required to rebuild the deck in this location.

#### Rear yard setback

The rear elevation of the second floor deck rests 8.5 feet from the rear property line in a zone where a minimum 20-foot rear yard setback is required. A special permit is required to rebuild the deck in this location.

Staff Note 2: The reconstruction of the second floor deck has been the subject of investigation by ISD because it was done without receiving zoning relief. The fact that the Applicants are seeking zoning relief *ex post facto*, though not ideal from a procedural/regulatory standpoint, neither Staff nor the ZBA can take this fact into consideration when making a recommendation/determination for approval or denial of the special permits requested. This is due to the long-standing MA Supreme Court case, *Dowd vs. Dover* (1976/1977), which established case law that an Applicant's past zoning violations cannot be used against him/her to deny them zoning relief.<sup>1</sup>

### 3. Green Building Practices:

The application contains no statements regarding green building practices.

# II. FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §4.4.1 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §4.4.1 in detail.

# 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u>

Staff finds that, generally, the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §4.4.1 and 5.1.4 of the SZO.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Paraphrased. See *Dowd v. Dover*. "In denying a special permit for a nursery a zoning board of appeals improperly considered the applicant's past violation of the zoning by-law. [156-157]"; <a href="http://masscases.com/cases/app/5/5massappct148.html">http://masscases.com/cases/app/5/5massappct148.html</a>

Date: July 18, 2018 Case #: ZBA 2018-17 Site: 11 Robinson Street

2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

# Regarding §4.4.1 of the SZO

Section 4.4.1 states that "[l]awfully existing nonconforming structures other than one- and two-family dwellings may be enlarged, extended, renovated or altered only by special permit authorized by the SPGA in accordance with the procedures of Article 5. The SPGA must find that such extension, enlargement, renovation or alteration is not substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing nonconforming building. In making the finding that the enlargement, extension, renovation or alteration will not be substantially more detrimental, the SPGA may consider, without limitation, impacts upon the following: traffic volumes, traffic congestion, adequacy of municipal water supply and sewer capacity, noise, odor, scale, on-street parking, shading, visual effects and neighborhood character."

### Left side yard setback

Presuming the veracity of the application statement that a first- and second-story deck have existed on the rear of this property for more than two decades, and that the second-story deck is being re-built in the same location in which it has always existed, then the proposal is one that continues a pre-existing use/condition. The lot itself is oddly shaped, with the right property line sharply angling toward the rear of the property leaving a rear property line only 18.21 feet in *length*.

### Left side yard setback

As with the discussion above, presuming the veracity of the application statement that a first- and secondstory deck have existed on the rear of this property for more than two decades and that the second-story deck is being re-built in the same location in which it has always existed, then the proposal is one that continues a pre-existing use/condition. The lot itself is oddly shaped, with the right property line sharply angling toward the rear of the property leaving a rear property line only 18.21 feet in *length*.

### General determinations

A deck is not found to have any impact on traffic volumes, traffic congestion, adequacy of municipal water supply, sewer capacity, or on-street parking; it is a means of providing outdoor space for the residents of the second-floor unit. Regarding noise and odor, abutting properties should anticipate sound and smells from activities typically associated with people using an outdoor deck.

As stated earlier, front and rear decks/porches are part of the character of residential structures in Somerville. In this area of Robinson Street and Central Street, the houses are particularly sandwiched together, leaving the rear deck clearly visible from several abutting properties.

There is always the possibility of some shading caused by the existence of a deck or other building component so close to a property line. The abutting property to the right has several solar panels installed on the roof elevation directly facing 11 Robinson. The rear deck will not have any shading effect on these solar panels.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

Page 4 of 6

Date: July 18, 2018 Case #: ZBA 2018-17 Site: 11 Robinson Street

Staff finds that the proposal is consistent with the purposes of the RA zone which are "[T]o establish and preserve quiet neighborhoods of one- and two-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

The reconstruction of a deck has no impact on the purpose of the RA zone in terms of allowed use (density). The property is and shall remain a two-family use. In general, in a densely-built urban environment, the use of front and rear decks/porches on multiple stories of residential buildings is a typical manner in which City residents are able to enjoy some outdoor space.

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

Robinson Street, immediately-surrounding streets and, in fact the entirety of Somerville is replete with front and/or rear porches/decks.

5. Housing Impact: Will not create adverse impacts on the stock of existing affordable housing.

The proposal will not add to the existing stock of affordable housing.

## III. RECOMMENDATION

# Special Permit under §4.4.1

Based on the materials submitted by the Applicant, the above findings and subject to the following conditions, the Planning Staff recommends **CONDITIONAL APPROVAL** of the requested **SPECIAL PERMITS**.

The recommendation is based upon a technical analysis by Planning Staff of the application material based upon the required findings of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance, and is based only upon information submitted prior to the public hearing. This report may be revised or updated with new recommendations, findings and/or conditions based upon additional information provided to the Planning Staff during the public hearing process.

#	Condition	Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
---	-----------	--------------------------------	-----------------------	-------

Date: July 18, 2018 Case #: ZBA 2018-17 Site: 11 Robinson Street

	Approval is for the (already co	ompleted) reconstruction of a the left and rear yard setbacks.	BP/CO	ISD/Plng.
1	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission Submission		
	January 11, 2018	Application submitted to City Clerk's office.		
	April 14, 2018	Plot plan submitted to OSPCD.		
	June 29, 2018	Deck plans submitted to OSPCD		
	Any changes to the approved on the minimis must receive S not a change is de minimis in the Planning Office.	PGA approval. Whether or		
Desi			<u> </u>	
1	All materials, including, but not limited to windows, exterior finishes, siding, and similar shall be submitted to, reviewed and approved by Planning Staff prior to the issuance of a building permit.		BP	ISD/Plng
Con	1	anna ranlaga any avistina	CO	DPW
2	The Applicant shall, at his expense, replace any existing equipment (including, but not limited to street sign poles, signs, traffic signal poles, traffic signal equipment, wheel chair ramps, granite curbing, etc.) and the entire sidewalk immediately abutting the subject property if damaged as a result of construction activity. All new sidewalks and driveways must be constructed to DPW standard.		СО	DPW
3	All construction materials and equipment must be stored onsite. If occupancy of the street layout is required, such occupancy must be in conformance with the requirements of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices and the prior approval of the Traffic and Parking Department must be obtained.		During Construction	T&P/ISD
4	The name(s) and contact information of all entities working on the site shall be posted in an area easily-visible from the public way for the passing public to see.		During Construction	ISD
5	Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the Applicant shall submit full engineering plans to the Engineering Department for their review and approval.		BP	ISD/Plng/E ng.
Pub	lic Safety			
6	The Applicant or Owner shall Bureau's requirements.	meet the Fire Prevention	СО	FP
7	All smoke detectors shall be h		СО	Fire Prevention / ISD
8	All exterior lighting on the site not cast light onto surrounding			

Page 6 of 6

Date: July 18, 2018 Case #: ZBA 2018-17 Site: 11 Robinson Street

9	In accordance with City of Somerville ordinances, no grills, barbeques, chimineas or the like shall be allowed on decks	CO/Perpetua	ISD/PlngF				
	and porches. These requirements shall be written into any rental agreements or condo documents. Proof of the inclusion of this language shall be shown to Planning Staff prior to the issuance of a CO.		r				
Final Sign-Off							
10	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final inspection by Inspectional Services to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.	Final sign off	Plng.				